

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/971,903	11/17/97	HARUKI		Н	826.1431/JDH
•		ĹM02/0225	٦	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY			·	MYHRE,J	
SUITE 500	TH STREET N	lal		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON		~		2767	
				DATE MAILED:	02/25/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/971,903 Applicant(s)

Haruki et al

Examiner

Advisory Action

James Myhre

Group Art Unit 2767



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the fir rejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Feb 15, 2000</u> (or within period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 15, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect out is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	t,
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal.	the
☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: Hill also discloses the new limitations of a personal id number and registration information (col 13) and	<u> </u>
extracting information about computer hardware/software products based on user requests (cols 15-1	6).
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	а
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in co for allowance because:	ndition
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rais the Examiner in the final rejection.	sed by
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
Claims allowed:	
Claims objected to:	
Claims rejected: 1-20	
The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examination Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	er.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	CHIL
The second secon	
Tourier Military	80 }2
Tourier Military	8
James W. Myhre	8